## \_\_\_\_LAW OFFICES OF \_\_\_\_\_BILFIELD & ASSOCIATES

Experience, Integrity and Personal Attention Since 1974

Phone: 216-696-LAWS (5297)

6300 Rockside Road \* Suite 204 Independence, Ohio 44131

Fax: 216-573-9725

May 9, 2017

## VIA EMAIL ONLY

Edward E. Taber, Esq. edward.taber@tuckerellis.com Madeline B. Dennis, Esq. madeline.dennis@tuckerellis.com Tucker Ellis 950 Main Avenue, Ste 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Re: Jane Doe #1, et al v Mayfield City School Dist. Bd of Ed, et al

Dear Counsel;

I have numerous letters to you and formal discovery requesting all versions, both earlier and later, to the Cleveland Clinic document that I had marked as Plaintiffs' Deposition Exhibit 23, entitled:

"Human Resources Enterprisewide Policies and Procedures" Subject: Non-Discrimination, Harassment or Retaliation

Plaintiffs' Exhibit 23 indicates it is a Revision 5, with an original date issued of 04/01/1993, and subsequent issue dates of 11/09/2009 and 01/02/2013. It appears that Plaintiffs' Exhibit 23 has the effective date of 01/02/2013.

At the deposition of Mr. Kime you produced another version of Exhibit 23, which has an original date issued of 04/01/1993 but with effective dates of 04/01/1993 and 02/01/2000 Rev. 1. So it appears, for earlier versions and later versions of Exhibit 23, I am missing the following versions with effective dates of 04/01/1993, 11/09/2009, and 02/01/2000; plus any versions subsequent to 01/02/2013.

Also at Mr. Kime's deposition you produced yet another Policy and Procedure, marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit 37, entitled:

"Cleveland Clinic Health System - Eastern Region People Management Policies"

Policy Title: Workplace Harassment 2.1

Revised Date: 07/31/2000 Original Date: 12/01/1992



At the deposition of Mr. Kime you also provided Workplace Harassment 2.1, with a revision date of 07/01/2003. I am therefore missing the original issue date of 12/1992 and any other revisions issued after 07/01/2003.

I note that on page 2 of "Workplace Harassment 2.1" is the following content:

... 2. Sexual Harassment
Sexual Harassment, as defined in the 1990 guidelines, includes ...

I have never been provided, though any one of my numerous requests would encompass, whatever the "1990 guidelines" contains pertaining to sexual harassment. I am requesting that those 1990 guidelines be provided to me in their entirety, immediately.

I am still awaiting a date to take the deposition of the Clinic employee identified as your 30(b)(6) witness, Nancy Tichy, who you have represented will provide testimony about these various harassment policies. In addition, I am still awaiting a deposition date for Gerry Miller, another of Mr. Ochi's Supervisors and the direct Supervisor to Mr. Kime.

Finally, prior to Mr. Kime's deposition I brought to your attention that the documents representing Dean Ochi's employment file that you provided to me on disc, was missing his employee review for 2003; but had his reviews for all other years. I find that to be more than a matter of coincidence since that was the year of his "disciplinary" meeting with Principal James Loewer. Also, you never responded to my letters inquiring whether you have created a privilege log to Dean Ochi's employment records.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Murray D. Bilfield

Murray D. Bilfield
BILFIELD & ASSOCIATES
MDB/srs

cc: David S. Hirt – via email Sarah Kutscher – via email